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## PxpouTIVE Sunuary

## Introduction

The purpose of this report is to present an overview of Bexar County and San Antonio area demographic changes between 2000 and 2010 using U.S. Census Bureau decennial census Summary File 1 (SF 1) data. Selected race/ethnicity; age; household; and housing unit data are presented in tables and charts and text highlights. The data are provided for a total of twelve geographic areas of analysis including: Bexar County; the City of San Antonio; and the ten City of San Antonio Council Districts.

## Sumimary

National: San Antonio's population grew by approximately $15.9 \%$ from 1,144,646 to 1,326,539 (see methodology section). The 15.9\% increase moved San Antonio from the 9th largest city in the U.S. in 2000 to the 7th largest by 2010. San Antonio was the fastest growing city among the top ten largest cities in the nation, adding more people to its total population and having the greatest percent change between 2000 and 2010.

Texas: San Antonio is now the second largest city in Texas, behind Houston (population 2,099,451) and ahead of Dallas (population 1,197,816), Austin (population 790,390), and Ft. Worth (population $741,206)$. Of the ten largest cities in Texas, San Antonio's population growth rate ranked fifth behind Ft. Worth (+38.6\%), Laredo (+33.7\%), Austin (+20.4\%), and Plano (+17\%).

County: Bexar County grew from a population of 1,392,931 to 1,714,773 (+23.1\%). The county grew at a significantly higher rate than the City of San Antonio over the last ten years. Bexar County's Hispanic or Latino, Not Hispanic or Latino Black or African American Alone (hereafter referred to as Black or African American) and Not Hispanic or Latin Asian Alone (hereafter referred to as Asian) populations grew at significantly higher rates than ( $+33 \%,+23.4 \%$ and $+85.1 \%$, respectively) than the City of San Antonio’s over the last ten years. In contrast to the City of San Antonio’s declining Not Hispanic or Latino White Alone (hereafter referred to as White) population, Bexar County's White population grew (+4.6\%).

City: As mendtioned above, San Antonio grew from a population of 1,144,646 to 1,326,539 ( $+15.9 \%$ ), adding 181,893 people to the total population. The Hispanic or Latino (of any race) population accounted for $91.9 \%$ of this increase.

Race/Ethnicity: The Hispanic or Latino population grew from 671,394 to 838,454 (+24.9\%). The White population decreased from 364,357 to 352,858 (-3.2\%). This was the only population group that decreased. The Black or African American population grew from 74,778 people to 83,302 people ( $+11.4 \%$ ). The Asian population was the fastest growing group, increasing from 17,084 to 30,562 (+78.9\%). Hispanics or Latinos continue to represent the largest proportion of the total population at 63.2\%, followed by Whites (26.6\%), Blacks or African Americans (6.3\%), Asians (2.3\%) and all others (1.6\%).

Gender: While the growth rate of the male population in San Antonio was slightly higher than females $(+17 \%$ and $+14.9 \%$ respectively) females remain a slight majority of the total population.

Age: The median age of San Antonio has increased over the last ten years from 32.9 to 34.3. Council District 4 continues to have the lowest median age of all Districts, at 30.8 and Council District 9 continues to have the highest median age at 37.8.

Households Size and Type: Households are getting smaller in San Antonio. Between 2000 and 2010, the average household size of housholds up to seven persons or more decreased from 2.74 to 2.69. Non-family households grew at a much faster rate than traditional family households, (+29.4\% and $+13.2 \%$ ) respectively.

Housing: Bexar County added housing units at a faster rate than the city over the last ten years, (+27.1\% and $+20.9 \%$ ). The city growth rate for renter occupied (+22.6) and vacant $(+61.2 \%)$ housing units both outpaced the growth rate of owner occupied ( $+15 \%$ ) housing units.

## Changes in the Decennial Census and Data Gathered

Prior to the 2010 Decennial Census, one out of every six households received a much longer census form than all other households with socio-economic demographic questions to complete. In addition to topics included on the short form, the long form questions covered topics such as: Income, Employment; Education; Travel Times to Work; Housing Costs and Poverty. Due to the unpopularity of the long-form census it was discontinued in the 2010 Decennial Census and thus substantially less information is now being produced from that data source. The topics that continue to be addressed in the Decennial Census include: Population; Sex; Age; Race; Ethnicity; Household / Family; Housing Units; and Group Quarters.

The Decennial Census long form was replaced by the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is an on-going survey with approximately 1 out of 55 households returning a survey each month. Due to the much smaller sample size, data is released depending on the size of population in the survey area. For some areas, such as states and larger counties (with populations greater than 65,000 ), data is available annually. For other areas (at least 20,000 in population size), three years of data are required for a data release. For areas smaller than 20,000 in population size, such as small counties and census tracts, five years of survey returns are required to generate a statistically valid survey response.

An alternative data source to the ACS can be obtained from a private data provider such as Nielsen Claritas. The benefit of these data is that they are produced annually at small resolutions such as census block groups, which are smaller geographies than tracts and much better suited to aggregating by areas such as Council Districts. In addition, this data provider uses multiple private and public (including the Decennial Census and ACS) data sources, with most obtained on at least an annual basis, to create and update its demographic database.

## Addendum

The Addendum provides greater detail regarding: SF 1 datasets; data resolution; source tables for the data included in this report; clarifications of Race / Ethnicity and Types of Households / Same Sex Couple issues; the City of San Antonio versus Census Bureau's population calculations; the procedure used to assign block to particular Council Districts, and further details regarding the update to the Population Distribution and Change report released in May 2010.

## Population Conparisons

## Population Comparison with Major Cities in the U.S.

In 2000, San Antonio was the ninth most populated city in the United States. By 2010 San Antonio movedtotheseventhmostpopulatedcity with1,326,539 people.Nineof thetoptenmostpopulatedcities in 2000 remained within the top ten in 2010. Detroit was ranked 10th in 2000 but fell to 18thin 2010. San Jose replaced Detroit as the 10th largest city for 2010, moving up from the 11th largest in 2000.

From 2000 to 2010, San Antonio had the highest percent of population increase ( $+15.9 \%$ ) of the ten largest cities with a gain of 181,893 people. In addition to San Antonio, New York City $(+166,855)$, Houston $(+145,820)$, and Phoenix $(+124,587)$ gained the most population between 2000 and 2010. However, the percent change of those cities was less than San Antonio ( $+2.1 \%$, $+7.5 \%$, and $+9.4 \%$ respectively). Of the ten cities, Chicago was the only city to lose population (-6.9\%), losing more people ( $-200,418$ ) than was gained by any other individual top ten city.

| Ten Largest Cites in the United States Population Change, 2000-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | U.S. Cities | Total Population <br> 2000 | Total Population <br> 2010 | Number Change <br> $2000-2010$ | Percent <br> Change |
| 1 | New York City | $8,008,278$ | $8,175,133$ | 166,855 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2 | Los Angeles | $3,694,820$ | $3,792,621$ | 97,801 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 3 | Chicago | $2,896,016$ | $2,695,598$ | $(200,418)$ | $-6.9 \%$ |
| 4 | Houston | $1,953,631$ | $2,099,451$ | 145,820 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 5 | Philadelphia | $1,517,550$ | $1,526,006$ | 8,456 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 6 | Phoenix | $1,321,045$ | $1,445,632$ | 124,587 | $9.4 \%$ |
| 7 | San Antonio | $1,144,646$ | $1,326,539$ | 181,893 | $15.9 \%$ |
| 8 | San Diego | $1,223,400$ | $1,307,402$ | 84,002 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 9 | Dallas | $1,188,580$ | $1,197,816$ | 9,236 | $0.8 \%$ |
| 10 | San Jose | 894,943 | 945,942 | 50,999 | $5.7 \%$ |

2010 Top Ten Largest Population Cities in the United States


## Population Comparison with Major Cities in Texas

In 2000, San Antonio was the third most populated city $(1,144,646)$ in Texas after Houston $(1,953,631)$ and Dallas $(1,188,580)$. By 2010, the population of San Antonio $(1,326,539)$ had surpassed that of Dallas $(1,197,816)$ making San Antonio the second most populated city in the state after Houston $(2,099,451)$.

The only other top ten city to add more population than San Antonio was Fort Worth. Fort Worth added 206,512 people, growing from 534,694 to 741,206. Fort Worth had a higher growth rate ( $+38.6 \%$ ) than any other top ten city. The other top ten cities with a greater percent change in population from 2000 to 2010 than San Antonio (+15.9\%) were Laredo (+33.7\%), Austin (+20.4\%), and Plano ( $+17 \%$ ). Despite remaining the top ranked city, Houston had the second lowest growth rate (+7.5\%) after Dallas (+0.8\%).

| Ten Largest Cities in Texas Population Change, 2000-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Name | Total Population <br> 2000 | Total Population <br> 2010 | Numeric <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| 1 | Houston | $1,953,631$ | $2,099,451$ | 145,820 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 2 | San Antonio | $1,144,646$ | $1,326,539$ | 181,893 | $15.9 \%$ |
| 3 | Dallas | $1,188,580$ | $1,197,816$ | 9,236 | $0.8 \%$ |
| 4 | Austin | 656,562 | 790,390 | 133,828 | $20.4 \%$ |
| 5 | Fort Worth | 534,694 | 741,206 | 206,512 | $38.6 \%$ |
| 6 | El Paso | 563,662 | 649,121 | 85,459 | $15.2 \%$ |
| 7 | Arlington | 332,969 | 365,438 | 32,469 | $9.8 \%$ |
| 8 | Corpus Christi | 277,454 | 305,215 | 27,761 | $10 \%$ |
| 9 | Plano | 222,030 | 259,841 | 37,811 | $17 \%$ |
| 10 | Laredo | 176,576 | 236,091 | 59,515 | $33.7 \%$ |

2010 Top Ten Largest Population Cities in Texas


## Population Comparisons with Bexar County Cities \& Unincorporated Areas

Bexar County grew from a population of $1,392,931$ to $1,714,773$ (+23.1\%). The unincorporated areas of Bexar County had a higher population growth rate (+76.9\%) than the incorporated cities of Bexar County exclusive of San Antonio (+26.8\%), as well as the City of San Antonio (+15.9\%).

| San Antonio and Bexar County Population Change, 2000-2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2000 <br> Population | 2010 <br> Population | Numeric <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| City of San Antonio | $1,144,646$ | $1,326,539 *$ | 181,893 | $15.9 \%$ |
| Other Incorporated Cities in Bexar County (excluding <br> San Antonio) | 101,813 | 129,116 | 27,303 | $26.8 \%$ |
| Bexar County Unincorporated Areas | 146,472 | 259,144 | 112,672 | $76.9 \%$ |
| Bexar County Total | $1,392,931$ | $1,714,773$ | 321,842 | $23.1 \%$ |
| 26 2010 City of San Antonio population includes 26 people in Medina County |  |  |  |  |

## Population Comparisons with Bexar County by Geographic Areas

The geographic areas included in this section were established by major roadway divisions to provide a broader context within which to compare population shifts in Bexar County between 2000 and 2010. Geographic areas A - H represent all of Bexar County including incorporated and unincorporated areas.

| 2000-2010 Bexar County Population Change by Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Name | 2000 Pop. | Percent of <br> 2000 Pop <br> Total | 2010 Pop. | Percent of <br> 2010 Pop <br> Total | Numeric <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| A. Far West | 16,013 | $1.1 \%$ | 71,464 | $4.2 \%$ | 55,451 | $346.3 \%$ |
| B. Far Northwest | 40,297 | $2.9 \%$ | 111,571 | $6.5 \%$ | 71,274 | $176.9 \%$ |
| C. Far Northeast | 30,171 | $2.2 \%$ | 59,959 | $3.5 \%$ | 29,788 | $98.7 \%$ |
| D. Far South | 31,461 | $2.3 \%$ | 40,099 | $2.3 \%$ | 8,638 | $27.5 \%$ |
| E. Near North | 566,744 | $40.7 \%$ | 704,034 | $41.1 \%$ | 137,290 | $24.2 \%$ |
| F. Near South | 40,369 | $2.9 \%$ | 54,998 | $3.2 \%$ | 14,629 | $36.2 \%$ |
| G. Inside Loop 410 | 658,452 | $47.3 \%$ | 662,500 | $38.6 \%$ | 4,048 | $0.6 \%$ |
| H. Central Business District <br> (CBD) | 9,424 | $0.7 \%$ | 10,148 | $0.6 \%$ | 724 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Population Total | $1,392,931$ | $100 \%$ | $1,714,773$ | $100 \%$ | 321,842 | $23.1 \%$ |



Far West, Far Northwest, Far Northeast: Geographic Areas A - C
The geographic areas that had the highest growth rates were located in northern Bexar County and situated north of Loop 1604. These three areas contained $14.2 \%$ of the total Bexar County population in 2010, a change from $6.2 \%$ in 2000. An additional 156,513 people were added to these three areas between 2000 and 2010, accounting for $48.6 \%$ of the County's total population growth.

The Hispanic or Latino population increased by $364.0 \%$, growing from 18,772 to 87,098 . The Hispanic or Latino population now represents $35.8 \%$ of the area's total population, an increase from $21.7 \%$ in 2000. The White population increased by $107.3 \%$, growing from 60,883 to 126,217 . The White population now represents $51.9 \%$ of the area's total population, a decrease from 70.4\% in 2000. The Black or African American population increased by 298.8\%, growing from 3,105 to 12,382. The Black or African American population now represents 5.1\% of the area's total population, an increase from $3.6 \%$ in 2000. The Asian population increased by $466.2 \%$, growing from 1,985 to 11,239 . The Asian population now represents $4.6 \%$ of the area’s total population, an increase from $2.3 \%$ in 2000.

The Far West area had a higher population growth rate (+346.3\%) than any of the other geographic areas, growing from 16,013 to 71,464 . This area's population now represents $4.2 \%$ of the total Bexar County population, a change from $1.1 \%$ in 2000. The Far Northwest area had the second largest population growth rate ( $+176.9 \%$ ), growing from 40,297 to 111,5710. This area’s population represents $6.5 \%$ of the total Bexar County population, a change from 2.9\% in 2000. The Far Northeast area had the third largest population growth rate (+98.7\%), growing from 30,171 to 59,959 . This area's population represents $3.5 \%$ of the total Bexar County population, a change from 2.2\% in 2000.

## Near North: Geographic Area E

The geographic area located in northern Bexar County and situated between Loop 410 and Loop 1604 added more people $(+137,290)$ than any other geographic area between 2000 and 2010. The Near North area had a growth rate of $24.2 \%$, growing from 566,744 to 704,034 . This area slightly increased its percent (from 40.7 to $41.1 \%$ ) of the County's total population between 2000 and 2010.

The Hispanic or Latino population increased by $61.3 \%$, growing from 210,289 to 339,143 . The Hispanic or Latino population now represents $48.2 \%$ of the area's total population, an increase from $37.1 \%$ in 2000. The White population declined by $7.9 \%$, decreasing from 282,234 to 259,850. The White population now represents $36.9 \%$ of the area’s total population, a decrease from $49.8 \%$ in 2000.

The Black or African American population increased by 39.0\%, growing from 46,326 to 64,376. The Black or African American population now represents $9.1 \%$ of the area's total population, an increase from $8.2 \%$ in 2000. The Asian population increased by $58.4 \%$, growing from 14,970 to 23,710. The Asian population now represents $3.4 \%$ of the area's total population, an increase from 2.6\% in 2000.

## Far South \& Near South: Geographic Areas D \& F

Of the geographic areas located in southern Bexar County situated outside of Loop 410, Far South maintained its percent of the population at $2.3 \%$ and the Near South, increased from 2.9 to 3.2\%. The Far South area had a growth rate of $27.5 \%$, growing from 31,461 to 40,099 . The Near South area had a growth rate of $36.2 \%$, growing from 40,369 to 54,998.

The Hispanic or Latino population increased by $54.9 \%$, growing from 40,310 to 62,428 . The Hispanic or Latino population now represents $65.6 \%$ of the area's total population, an increase from $56.1 \%$ in 2000. The White population declined by $0.3 \%$, decreasing from 26,500 to 26,415. The White population now represents $27.8 \%$ of the area's total population, a decrease from $36.9 \%$ in 2000. The Black or African American population increased by 21.7\%, growing from 3,794 to 4,617 . The Black or African American population now represents $4.9 \%$ of the area's total population, a decrease from $5.3 \%$ in 2000. The Asian population increased by $120.8 \%$, growing from 264 to 583. The Asian population now represents $0.6 \%$ of the area's total population, an increase from $0.4 \%$ in 2000.

## Inside 410: Geographic Area G

The geographic area located in central Bexar County situated inside Loop 410 and excluding the Central Business District (CBD) had a lower growth rate ( $+0.6 \%$ ) than any other geographic area between 2000 and 2010. The Inside 410 area added 4,048 people, growing from 658,452 to 662,500 . This area's percent of the County's total population decreased from $47.3 \%$ to $38.6 \%$.

The Hispanic or Latino population increased by 6.4\%, growing from 481,773 to 512,479 . The Hispanic or Latino population now represents $77.4 \%$ of the area's total population, an increase from $73.2 \%$ in 2000. The White, Black or African American, and Asian populations all decreased as a percent of total population between 2000 and 2010 ( $-16.5 \%,-14.2 \%$, and $-4.5 \%$ respectively).

## Central Business District: Geographic Area H

The Central Business District (CBD) boundaries used in this analysis are those defined by the San Antonio, Texas, Code of Ordinances (Part II, Chapter 4, Article I , Sec 4-4). The CBD had the second lowest growth rate (+7.8\%) of the geographic areas between 2000 and 2010. The CBD added 724 people, growing from 9,424 to 10,148 . This area's percent of the County's total population decreased from $0.7 \%$ in 2000 to $0.6 \%$ in 2010.

The Hispanic or Latino population declined by $1.3 \%$, decreasing from 5,980 to 5,902 . The Hispanic or Latino population now represents $57.3 \%$ of the area's total population, a decrease from $62.5 \%$ in 2000. The White and Black or African American populations increased in total population between 2000 and 2010, growing at a rate of $21.3 \%$ and $21.6 \%$ respectively. The Asian population increased by $110.3 \%$, growing from 58 to 122 . The Asian population now represents $1.2 \%$ of the area's total population, an increase from $0.6 \%$ in 2000.

## Bexar County Demographic Changes

## Highligats:

- Bexar County experienced a higher total population growth rate (+23.1\%) than the City of San Antonio ( $+15.9 \%$ ) in its population increase from 1,392,931 in 2000 to 1,714,773 in 2010.
- Bexar County experienced growth in the: Hispanic or Latino (+33\%); White (+4.6\%); Black or African American (+23.4\%) and Asian (+85.1\%) populations, with the Hispanic or Latino population having the highest numeric growth (+249,925).
- Bexar County experienced male population numeric $(+163,299)$ and percent $(+24.1 \%)$ increases and female population numeric $(+158,543)$ and percent $(+22.2 \%)$ increases. Despite the greater increase in the male population, the male / female ratio (0.95) shows that females are still (slightly) in the majority.
- In 2000, the age distribution for Bexar County had somewhat equal numbers or percentages for almost all age groups and between genders as well as the expected decreasing proportions for the oldest age groups beginning around the 50 to 54 age group. In 2010, while the basic pattern of the age distribution is the same, the beginning of the decreasing proportions for the older age groups has shifted to around the 55 to 59 age group.
- Bexar County experienced increases in the: total population median age from 2000 (33.3) to 2010 (34.6); male population median age from 2000 (31.8) to 2010 (33.1); and the female population median age from 2000 (34.6) to 2010 (35.8).


## Population Race / Ethnicity

The total population increased by $23.1 \%$ from $1,392,931$ to $1,714,773$. The Hispanic or Latino population increased by $33.0 \%$ from 757,033 to $1,006,958$. White population increased by $4.6 \%$ from 496,245 to 519,123. Black or African American population increased by 23.4\% from 95,984 to 118,460 . Asian population increased by $85.1 \%$ from 21,369 to 39,561 .


## Bexar County Demographic Changes <br> Gender Groups

Bexar County's male population increased by $24.1 \%$ from 677,541 to 840,840 . Female population increased by $22.2 \%$ from 715,390 to 873,933.


## Median Age

The total population median age increased by $3.6 \%$ from 33.5 to 34.7. Median age of the male population increased by $3.8 \%$ from 32 to 33.2. Median age of the female population increased by 3.3\% from 34.8 to 36 .

## Household Type by Household Size

Bexar County's total households increased by $24.5 \%$ from 488,942 to 608,931 . Family households increased by $20.4 \%$ from 345,717 to 416,356 . Non family households increased by $34.5 \%$ from 143,225 to 192,575. (Non family households either consist of people living alone or households which do not have any members related to the householder.) The average size of households up to 7 persons declined ( $-1.1 \%$ ) from 2.75 to 2.72.


## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

The total households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $16.1 \%$ from 202,363 to 234,943 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $16.2 \%$ from 200,503 to 233,059 . Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $8.3 \%$ from 133,545 to 144,632 . Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $45.5 \%$ from 13,732 to 19,977. Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $28.6 \%$ from 53,226 to 68,450 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $1.3 \%$ from 1,860 to 1,884 . Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $0.7 \%$ from 1,322 to 1,331 . Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $2.8 \%$ from 538 to 553 .


## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

Bexar County's total housing units increased by 27.1\% from 521,359 to 662,872. Occupied housing units increased by $24.6 \%$ from 488,942 to 608,931 . Owner occupied housing units increased by 23.2\% from 299,153 to 368,638. Renter occupied housing units increased by $27.6 \%$ from 189,789 to 240,293 . Vacant housing units increased by $66.4 \%$ from 32,417 in 2000 to 53,941 in 2010.

> Bexar County Housing Units 2000 U.S. Census

Bexar County Housing Units 2010 U.S. Census


## City of San Avionio

## Highlights:

- The City of San Antonio experienced a lower total population growth rate (+15.9\%) than Bexar County ( $+23.1 \%$ ) in its population increase from 1,144,646 to 1,326,539.
- The City of San Antonio experienced growth in the Hispanic or Latino (+24.9\%), Black or African American ( $+11.4 \%$ ) and Asian (+78.9\%) populations, with the Hispanic or Latino population having the highest numeric growth $(+167,060)$. The White population group was the only major race / ethnicity group to decline (-3.2\%).
- The City of San Antonio experienced male population numeric $(+94,002)$ and percent $(+17 \%)$ increases and female population numeric $(+87,891)$ and percent increases $(+14.9)$. Despite the greater increase in the male population, the male / female ratio ( 0.95 ) shows that females are still (slightly) in the majority.
- Both the 2000 and 2010 age distributions for the City of San Antonio are somewhat equal numbers and percentages for almost all age groups and between genders. However, a comparison of the two distributions also indicates that a higher proportion of the population is now in the older age groups.
- The City of San Antonio experienced increases in the: total population median age from 32.9 to 34.3 ; male population median age from 31.4 to 32.8 ; and the female population median age from 34.3 to 35.7 .


## Population Race / Ethnicity

City of San Antonio's total population increased by $15.9 \%$ from 1,144,646 to 1,326,539. Hispanic or Latino population increased by 24.9 \% from 671,394 to 838,454 . White population declined by $3.2 \%$ from 364,357 to 352,858. Black or African American population increased by $11.4 \%$ from 74,778 to 83,302 . Asian population increased by $78.9 \%$ from 17,084 to 30,562 .


## City of San Antonio Demographic Changes <br> Gender Groups

City of San Antonio’s male population increased by $17 \%$ from 553,245 to 647,247 . Female population increased by $14.9 \%$ from 591,401 to $679,292$.


## Median Age

City of San Antonio's total population median age increased by $4.2 \%$ from 32.9 to 34.3. Median age of the male population increased by $4.4 \%$ from 31.4 to 32.8 . Median age of the female population increased by $4.1 \%$ from 34.3 to 35.7.

## Household Type by Household Size

City of San Antonio's total households increased by $18.2 \%$ from 405,474 to 479,184 . Family households increased by $13.2 \%$ from 280,828 to 317,862 . Non family households increased by $29.4 \%$ from 124,646 to 161,322 . The average size of households up to 7 persons declined by $2 \%$ from 2.74 to 2.69.


## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

City of San Antonio's total households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $8 \%$ from 166,020 to 179,279 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $8.1 \%$ from 164,432 to 177,804 . Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 declined by $1.4 \%$ from 106,356 to 104,902. Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $38.3 \%$ from 11,604 to 16,044. Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $22.3 \%$ from 46,472 to 56,858 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 declined by $7.1 \%$ from 1,588 to 1,475 . Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 declined by $9.5 \%$ from 1,135 to 1,027 . Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 declined by $1.1 \%$ from 453 to 448.


## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

City of San Antonio's total housing units increased by $20.9 \%$ from 433,122 to 523,759 . Occupied housing units increased by $18.2 \%$ from 405,474 to 479,184 . Owner occupied housing units increased by 15\% from 235,699 to 271,044. Renter occupied housing units increased by 22.6\% from 169,775 to 208,140 . Vacant housing units increased by $61.2 \%$ from 27,648 to 44,575 .



City Council Districts

| $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## San Antonio City Council Districts

As a result of redistricting after the 2000 Census, each Council District had a relatively equal total population. Between 2000 and 2010 the population gain/loss varied among the Council Districts resulting in unequal total population amongst the Districts in 2010. The population of Council Districts 6, 8, and 9 grew at greater rates than the other Council Districts between 2000 and $2010(+30.7 \%,+33.6 \%$, and $+36.7 \%$ respectively). Council Districts 1 and 5 experienced decreases in population ( $-4.0 \%$ and $-3.3 \%$ respectively). These are the only two Council Districts that lost population. The remaining Council Districts, 2 (+13.7\%), 3 (+5.9), 4 (+11.0\%), 7 $(+13.5)$, and $10(+19.0 \%)$, grew moderately adding between 6,638 people and 21,269 people.

2010 Population by Council Districts


Population Change by Council Districts 2000-2010


All Council Districts except for District 5 gained Hispanic or Latino population. The White population increased in Council Districts 5, 6, 8, and 9 and decreased in all other Districts. The Black or African American population decreased in Council Districts 2, 3, and 4 and increased in all other Districts. The Black or African American population increased the most between 2000 and 2010 in Council Districts 8,9 , and 10 , growing at a rate of $66.3 \%, 70.5 \%$, and $32.8 \%$ respectively. The Asian population decreased in Council Districts 4 and 5 and increased in all other Districts. The Asian population increased the most in Council Districts 8 and 9, growing at a rate of $148.4 \%$ and $167.6 \%$ respectively.

## Council District 1

## Highlights:

- The largest numeric $(-4,690)$ and percent $(-4 \%)$ decline in total population took place in Council District 1.
- The largest White population numeric decrease was in Council District $1(-5,926)$.
- Council District 1 experienced the largest female population numeric $(-4,026)$ and percent (-6.7\%) decline.
- Council District 1 was the only district to experience a numeric ( $-1,716$ ) and percent (-2\%) decline in the age 18 and over total population. The largest numeric decline in age 18 and over White population took place in Council District $1(-4,760)$.
- Council District 1 was the only district in which total households had a numeric $(-1,057)$ and percent $(-2.4 \%)$ decrease. This district had the largest numeric ( $-1,814$ ) and percent ( $-6.9 \%$ ) decrease in family households.



## Population Race / Ethnicity

The total population in Council District 1 declined by 4\%, declining from 117,136 to 112,446 . Hispanic or Latino population increased by 1,188 or $1.4 \%$ from 85,823 to 87,011 . White population declined by $22.2 \%$ from 26,736 to 20,810. Black or African American population increased by $1.6 \%$ from 2,558 to 2,598 . Asian population increased by $12.3 \%$ from 778 to 874 .

## Gender Groups

The male population declined $1.2 \%$ from 56,850 to 56,186 . Female population declined by $6.7 \%$ from 60,286 to 56,260.

## Median Age

The total population median age increased by $4.5 \%$ from 34.3 to 35.8 . The median age of the male population increased by $6.1 \%$ from 32.5 to 34.5 . The median age of the female population increased by $3.6 \%$ from 36.0 to 37.4.

## Household Type by Household Size

The total households declined by $2.4 \%$ from 43,264 to 42,207. Family households declined by $6.9 \%$ from 26,309 to 24,495 . Non family households increased by $4.5 \%$ from 16,955 to`
17,712 . The average size of households up to 7 persons declined by $1.1 \%$ from 2.56 to 2.53 .

## Council District 1

## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

The total households with one or more children under age 18 declined by $11.4 \%$ from 15,043 to 13,335 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 declined by $11.3 \%$ from 14,870 to 13,197 . Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 declined by 18.5\% from 8,852 to. Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by $6.2 \%$ from 1,322 to 1,404 . Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 declined by $2.4 \%$ from 4,696 to 4,583 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 declined by $20.2 \%$ from 173 to 138 . Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 declined by $17.5 \%$ from 120 to 99 . Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 declined by $26.4 \%$ from 53 to 39 .


## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

The total housing units increased by $0.04 \%$ from 47,027 to 47,047 . Occupied housing units declined by $2.4 \%$ from 43,264 to 42,207. Owner occupied housing units declined 5\% from 21,503 to 20,435 . Renter occupied housing units increased by $0.05 \%$ from 21,761 to 21,772 . Vacant housing units increased by $28.6 \%$ from 3,763 to 4,840 .


Council District 1
Housing Units
2010 U.S. Census


## Council District $?$

## Highlights:

- The largest Black or African American population was in Council District 2 for 2000 $(32,939)$ as well as $2010(30,874)$, however this district also had the largest numeric decrease $(-2,065)$ in that population.
- The largest age 18 and over Black or African American population was in Council District 2 in $2000(23,317)$ and $2010(22,632)$, however this district also experienced the largest numeric decline (-685) in that population.
- The average size of all Council District 2 households up to seven persons had the highest numeric and percent increase ( $+0.05 /+1.68 \%$ ).



## Population Race / Ethnicity

Council District 2's total population increased by 14,931 or $13.7 \%$ from 108,643 to 123,574 . Hispanic or Latino population increased by 17,922 or $36.5 \%$ from 49,147 to 67,069. White population decreased by $-1,658$ or $-7.3 \%$ from 22,818 to 21,160. Black or African American population decreased by $-2,065$ or $-6.3 \%$ from 32,939 to 30,874. Asian population increased by 145 or $7.8 \%$ from 1,868 to 2,013.

## Gender Groups

Council District 2's male population increased by 8,325 or $16 \%$ from 52,030 to 60,355 . Female population increased by 6,606 or $11.7 \%$ from 56,613 to 63,219 .

## Median Age

Council District 2's total population median age remained the same at 32.3. Median age of the male population increased by 0.5 or $1.6 \%$ from 30.1 to 30.6. Median age of the female population decreased by -0.3 or $-0.9 \%$ from 34.3 to 34.0.

## Household Type by Household Size

The total households increased by 3,756 or $10 \%$ from 37,405 to 41,161 . Family households increased by 2,109 or $8.1 \%$ from 26,104 to 28,213 . Non family households increased by 1,647 or $14.6 \%$ from 11,301 to 12,948 . The average size of households up to 7 persons increased by 0.05 or $1.7 \%$ from 2.79 to 2.84 .

## Council District 2

Households with One or More Children under Age 18
The total households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,040 or $6.4 \%$ from 16,114 to 17,154 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,068 or $6.7 \%$ from 15,949 to 17,017 . Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -129 or $-1.5 \%$ from 8,536 to 8,407 . Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 421 or $33.5 \%$ from 1,256 to 1,677 . Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 776 or $12.6 \%$ from 6,157 to 6,933 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -28 or $-17 \%$ from 165 to 137. Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -9 or $-8.7 \%$ from 104 to 95. Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -19 or $-31.1 \%$ from 61 to 42 .


Council District 2

## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

The total housing units increased by by 6,140 or $15 \%$ from 40,856 to 46,996 . Occupied housing units increased by 3,756 or $10 \%$ from 37,405 to 41,161 . Owner occupied housing units increased by 577 or $2.7 \%$ from 21,145 to 21,722 . Renter occupied housing units increased by 3,179 or $19.6 \%$ from 16,260 to 19,439. Vacant housing units increased by 2,384 or $69.1 \%$ from 3,451 to 5,835.


## Council District 3

## Highlights:

- Council District 3 had the second highest number decrease $(-5,691)$ and the largest percent $(-28.1 \%)$ decrease from $2000(20,271)$ to $2010(14,580)$ in White population.
- Council District 3 had the lowest number (+10) and percent (+3\%) increase in Asian population from 2000 (335) to 2010 (345).
- The largest percent decrease in the age 18 and over White population took place in Council District 3 (-26.5\%).
- Council District 3 had the lowest number (+35) and percent (+1.3\%) increase in Age 18 or over Black or African American population from $2000(2,636)$ to $2010(2,671)$.



## Population Race / Ethnicity

The total population in Council District 3 increased by 6,638 or $5.9 \%$ from 112,217 to 118,855 . Hispanic or Latino population increased by 12,932 or $14.9 \%$ from 86,694 to 99,626. White population decreased by $-5,691$ or $-28.1 \%$ from 20,271 to 14,580 . Black or African American population decreased by -329 or $-8.5 \%$ from 3,861 to 3,532 . Asian population increased by 10 or $3 \%$ from 335 to 345 .

## Gender Groups

The male population increased by 3,731 or $6.9 \%$ from 54,040 to 57,771 . Female population increased by 2,907 or 5\% from 58,177 to 61,084.

## Median Age

The total population median age increased by 1.8 or $5.7 \%$ from 31.9 to 33.7. Median age of the male population increased by 1.9 or $6.5 \%$ from 29.9 to 31.8 . Median age of the female population increased by 1.7 or $5 \%$ from 33.6 to 35.3 .

## Household Type by Household Size

The total households increased by 2,683 or $7.3 \%$ from 36,841 to 39,524. Family households increased by 1,170 or $4.3 \%$ from 27,437 to 28,607 . Non family households increased by 1,513 or $16.1 \%$ from 9,404 to 10,917 . The average size of households up to 7 persons decreased by -0.03 or $-1 \%$ from 2.95 to 2.92 .

## Council District 3

## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

The total households with one or more children under age 18 declined by -210 or $-1.2 \%$ from 16,937 to 16,727 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -196 or $-1.2 \%$ from 16,800 to 16,604 . Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by $-1,200$ or $-12 \%$ from 9,978 to 8,778 . Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 359 or $25.8 \%$ from 1,393 to 1,752 . Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 645 or $11.9 \%$ from 5,429 to 6,074 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -14 or $-10.2 \%$ from 137 to 123. Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -14 or $-16.1 \%$ from 87 to 73. Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 remained the same at 50 .

Council District 3
Household Type with 1+ Children Under Age 18
2000 U.S. Census


Council District 3
Household Type with 1+ Children Under Age 18


## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

The total housing units increased by $10.2 \%$ from 39,537 in 2000 to 43,578 in 2010. Occupied housing units increased by $7.3 \%$ from 36,841 in 2000 to 39,524 in 2010. Owner occupied housing units increased by $3.3 \%$ from 23,072 in 2000 to 23,840 in 2010. Renter occupied housing units increased by $13.9 \%$ from 13,769 in 2000 to 15,684 in 2010. Vacant housing units increased by $50.4 \%$ from 2,696 in 2000 to 4,054 in 2010.

## Council District 3 <br> Housing Units 2000 U.S. Census

Council District 3 Housing Units 2010 U.S. Census


## Courcil District 4

## Highlights:

- Council District 4 had the largest Black or African American population percent (-17.4\%) decrease.
- In 2000, Council District 4’s population distribution had much higher proportions of younger populations and steadily declining older populations. In 2010 the population distribution is slightly more equitable between all but the oldest population groups.
- Council District 4 continues to have the lowest median age for the: total population (30.8); male population (29.3); and female population (32.2).
- Council District 4 had the largest percent decrease in the age 18 and over Black or African American population (-8.6\%).
- Council District 4 had the highest average size of households up to 7 persons in 2000 (3.36) and 2010 (3.27).



## Population Race / Ethnicity

The total population in Council District 4 increased by 12,222 or $11 \%$ from 110,941 to 123,163 . Hispanic or Latino population increased by 17,317 or $20 \%$ from 86,514 to 103,831 . White population decreased by $-4,096$ or $-23.8 \%$ from 17,188 to 13,092 . Black or African American population decreased by -840 or $-17.4 \%$ from 4,821 to 3,981 . Asian population decreased by -25 or $-2.7 \%$ from 934 to 909.

## Gender Groups

The male population increased by 5,908 or 10.9 from 54,214 to 60,122 . Female population increased by 6,314 or $11.1 \%$ from 56,727 to 63,041 .

## Median Age

The total population median age increased by 1.9 or $6.4 \%$ from 28.9 to 30.8 . Median age of the male population increased by 1.8 or $6.6 \%$ from 27.5 to 29.3. Median age of the female population increased by 1.9 or $6.3 \%$ from 30.3 to 32.2.

## Household Type by Household Size

The total households increased by 4,555 or $14.1 \%$ from 32,261 to 36,816 . Family households increased by 2,604 or $9.8 \%$ from 26,677 to 29,281 . Non family households increased by 1,951 or $34.9 \%$ from 5,584 to 7,535 . The average size of households up to 7 persons decreased by -0.09 or -2.6\% from 3.36 to 3.27.

## Council District 4

## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

The total households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 741 or $4.3 \%$ from 17,420 to 18,161 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 743 or $4.3 \%$ from 17,308 to 18,051 . Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -980 or $-8.7 \%$ from 11,229 to 10,249. Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 518 or $42.1 \%$ from 1,230 to 1,748 . Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,205 or $24.9 \%$ from 4,849 to 6,054 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -2 or $-1.8 \%$ from 112 to 110 . Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 remained the same at 83 . Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -2 or -6.9\% from 29 to 27.

Council District 4
Household Type with 1+ Children Under Age 18
2000 U.S. Census


Council District 4
Household Type with 1+ Children Under Age 18


## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

The total housing units increased by 5,966 or $17.5 \%$ from 34,090 to 40,056 . Occupied housing units increased by 4,555 or $14.1 \%$ from 32,261 to 36,816 . Owner occupied housing units increased by 2,423 or $11.5 \%$ from 21,136 to 23,559 . Renter occupied housing units increased by 2,132 or $19.2 \%$ from 11,125 to 13,257 . Vacant housing units increased by 1,411 or $77.1 \%$ from 1,829 to 3,240.

```
Council District 4 Housing Units 2000 U.S. Census
```

Council District 4 Housing Units 2010 U.S. Census



## Highlights:

- In 2000, the largest Hispanic or Latino population was in Council District $5(103,551)$ however this district also had the largest numeric ( $-4,023$ ) and percent ( $-3.9 \%$ ) decrease, making it the third largest in $2010(99,528)$. The largest Asian population numeric $(-39)$ and percent (-24.5\%) decrease was in this district.
- Council District 5 experienced the largest male population numeric $(-1,123)$ and percent (-2.1\%) decrease.
- Council District 5 experienced the highest total population median age numeric (+2.3) and percent increase ( $+7.3 \%$ ). This district had the highest male population median age numeric ( +2.4 ) and percent increase $(+8.1 \%)$. It had the highest female population median age numeric (+2.1) and percent increase (+6.5\%).
- Council District 5 had the highest 2000 age 18 and over Hispanic or Latino population $(70,505)$, however it was also the only district that experienced a numeric ( -580 ) and percent $(-0.8 \%)$ decrease in that population group. It also experienced the only numeric ( -21 ) and percent (-16.4\%) decrease in the age 18 and over Asian population.



## Population Race / Ethnicity

The total population in Council District 5 decreased by $-3,605$ or $-3.3 \%$ from 110,213 to 106,608 . Hispanic or Latino population decreased by -4,023 or -3.9\% from 103,551 to 99,528. White population increased by 150 or $3.3 \%$ from 4,547 to 4,697 . Black or African American population increased by 399 or $28.2 \%$ from 1,417 to 1,816 . Asian population decreased by -39 or $-24.5 \%$ from 159 to 120.

## Gender Groups

The male population decreased by $-1,123$ or $-2 \%$ from 54,804 to 53,681 . Female population decreased by $-2,482$ or $-4.5 \%$ from 55,409 to 52,927 .

## Median Age

The total population median age increased by 2.3 or $7.3 \%$ from 31 to 33.2 . Median age of the male population increased by 2.4 or $8.1 \%$ from 29.2 to 31.6. Median age of the female population increased by 2.1 or $6.5 \%$ from 32.8 to 34.9 .

## Household Type by Household Size

The total households increased by 557 or $1.8 \%$ from 31,696 to 32,253 . Family households decreased by $-1,228$ or $-4.9 \%$ from 24,816 to 23,588 . Non family households increased by 1,785 or $25.9 \%$ from 6,880 to 8,665 . The average size of households up to 7 persons decreased by -0.17 or $-5.2 \%$ from 3.24 to 3.07 .

## Council District 5

## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

The total households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by $-1,540$ or $-10.1 \%$ from 15,314 to 13,774 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by $-1,511$ or $-9.9 \%$ from 15,210 to 13,699 . Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by $-2,212$ or $-25 \%$ from 8,842 to 6,630 . Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 134 or $10.7 \%$ from 1,254 to 1,388 . Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 567 or $11.1 \%$ from 5,114 to 5,681 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -29 or $-27.9 \%$ from 104 to 75 . Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by - 28 or $-36.8 \%$ from 76 to 48 . Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -1 or $-3.6 \%$ from 28 to 27 .

## Council District 5 <br> Household Type with 1+ Children Under Age 18 <br> 2000 U.S. Census



Council District 5
Household Type with 1+ Children Under Age 18 2010 U.S. Census


## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

The total housing units increased by 1,763 or $5.2 \%$ from 33,758 to 35,521 . Occupied housing units increased by 557 or $1.8 \%$ from 31,696 to 32,253 . Owner occupied housing units decreased by $-1,101$ or $-5.6 \%$ from 19,516 to 18,415 . Renter occupied housing units increased by 1,658 or $13.6 \%$ from 12,180 to 13,838 . Vacant housing units increased by 1,206 or $58.5 \%$ from 2,062 to 3,268.

> Council District 5
> Housing Units 2000 U.S. Census


## Highlights:

- Council District 6 had the largest Hispanic or Latino population numeric increase $(+31,023)$ making it the district with the largest Hispanic or Latino population $(106,788)$ in 2010.
- Council District 6 had the highest Hispanic or Latino age 18 and over population in 2010 $(+71,490)$ as well as the highest numeric change $(+22,525)$.
- Council District 6 had the highest percent increase in total households (+36.8) and non family households (+64.2\%).
- In 2010 Council District 6 still had the highest number of total households with one or more children under the age of $18(23,073)$ and family households with one or more children under the age of $18(22,897)$. It had the highest numeric (+11) and percent (+6.7\%) increase in non family households with one or more children under the age of 18.


Population Race / Ethnicity
The total population in Council District 6 increased by 35,785 or $30.7 \%$ from 116,748 to 152,533 . Hispanic or Latino population increased by 31,023 or $40.9 \%$ from 75,765 to 106,788 . White population increased by 1,240 or $4.3 \%$ from 28,890 to 30,130 . Black or African American population increased by 1,863 or $22.8 \%$ from 8,159 to 10,022 . Asian population increased by 834 or $46.4 \%$ from 1,796 to 2,630 .

## Gender Groups

The male population increased by 17,599 or $31.1 \%$ from 56,550 to 74,149 . Female population increased by 18,186 or $30.2 \%$ from 60,198 to 78,384 .

## Median Age

The total population median age increased by 1.8 or $5.89 \%$ from 30.2 to 31.9. Median age of the male population increased by 1.8 or $5.9 \%$ from 28.9 to 30.5. Median age of the female population increased by 2.0 or $6.5 \%$ from 31.1 to 33.1.

## Household Type by Household Size

The total households increased by 13,910 or $36.8 \%$ from 37,801 to 51,711 . Family households increased by 8,545 or $29 \%$ from 29,439 to 37,984 . Non family households increased by 5,365 or $64.2 \%$ from 8,362 to 13,727 . The average size of households up to 7 persons decreased by -0.14 or $-4.4 \%$ from 3.05 to 2.92 .

## Council District 6

## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

The total households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 3,806 or $19.8 \%$ from 19,267 to 23,073 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 3,795 or $19.9 \%$ from 19,102 to 22,897 . Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 940 or $7.2 \%$ from 13,037 to 13,977 . Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 782 or $64.2 \%$ from 1,219 to 2,001 . Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 2,073 or $42.8 \%$ from 4,846 to 6,919 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 11 or $6.7 \%$ from 165 to 176 . Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 2 or $1.5 \%$ from 130 to 132 . Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 9 or $25.7 \%$ from 35 to 44 .

Council District 6
Household Type with 1+ Children Under Age 18
2000 U.S. Census


Council District 6
Household Type with 1+ Children Under Age 18 2010 U.S. Census


## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

The total housing units increased by 15,731 or $39.9 \%$ from 39,455 to 55,186 . Occupied housing units increased by 13,910 or $36.8 \%$ from 37,801 to 51,711 . Owner occupied housing units increased by 7,750 or $31.9 \%$ from 24,287 to 32,037 . Renter occupied housing units increased by 6,160 or $45.6 \%$ from 13,514 to 19,674 . Vacant housing units increased by 1,821 or $110.1 \%$ from 1,654 to 3,475 .

```
Council District 6
Housing Units 2000 U.S. Census
```

Council District 6 Housing Units 2010 U.S. Census

## Highlights:

- In 2000 Council District 7 had the highest total population $(121,142)$ and due to having the fifth highest number increase $(+16,391)$ and the sixth highest percent increase $(+13.5 \%)$, its total population in $2010(137,533)$ fell to fourth place.
- In 2000 Council District 7 had the highest male population $(57,769)$ but its sixth highest number increase $(+7,972)$ and percent increase $(+13.8 \%)$ put its 2010 number of males in fourth place $(65,741)$.
- In 2000 Council District 7 had the highest female population $(63,373)$ but its fifth highest number increase $(+8,419)$ and percent increase $(+13.3 \%)$ put its 2010 number of females in fourth place $(71,792)$.
- In 2000 Council District 7 had the highest number of family households $(30,530)$ but its fifth highest number increase $(+3,445)$ and percent increase $(+11.3 \%)$ put its 2010 number of family households in fifth place $(33,975)$.



## Population Race / Ethnicity

The total population in Council District 7 increased by 16,391 or $13.5 \%$ from 121,142 to 137,533 . Hispanic or Latino population increased by 18,105 or $23.5 \%$ from 77,026 to 95,131 . White population decreased by $-3,703$ or $-10.2 \%$ from 36,179 to 32,476 . Black or African American population increased by 796 or $18.3 \%$ from 4,342 to 5,138 . Asian population increased by 830 or $43 \%$ from 1,931 to 2,761 .

## Gender Groups

The male population increased by 7,972 or $13.8 \%$ from 57,769 to 65,741 . Female population increased by 8,419 or $13.3 \%$ from 63,373 to 71,792 .

## Median Age

The total population median age increased by 1.5 or $4.4 \%$ from 33.9 to 35.3 . Median age of the male population increased by 1.2 or $3.9 \%$ from 32.2 to 33.4. Median age of the female population increased by 1.7 or $4.7 \%$ from 35.4 to 37.1 .

## Household Type by Household Size

The total households increased by 7,078 or $16.7 \%$ from 42,355 to 49,433 . Family households increased by 3,445 or $11.3 \%$ from 30,530 to 33,975 . Non family households increased by 3,633 or $30.7 \%$ from 11,825 to 15,458 . The average size of households up to 7 persons decreased by -0.06 or $-2.11 \%$ from 2.78 to 2.72 .

## Council District 7

## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

The total households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,026 or $5.8 \%$ from 17,636 to 18,662 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,039 or $5.9 \%$ from 17,480 to 18,519. Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -653 or $-5.6 \%$ from 11,739 to 11,086 . Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 501 or $44.2 \%$ from 1,133 to 1,634 . Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,191 or $25.8 \%$ from 4,608 to 5,799 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -13 or $-8.3 \%$ from 156 to 143 . Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -14 or $-12.3 \%$ from 114 to 100. Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1 or $2.4 \%$ from 42 to 43.

Council District 7
Household Type with 1+ Children Under Age 18
2000 U.S. Census


Council District 7
Household Type with 1+ Children Under Age 18


## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

The total housing units increased by 8,989 or $20.3 \%$ from 44,327 to 53,316 . Occupied housing units increased by 7,078 or $16.7 \%$ from 42,355 to 49,433 . Owner occupied housing units increased by 3,746 or $14 \%$ from 26,850 to 30,596 . Renter occupied housing units increased by 3,332 or $21.5 \%$ from 15,505 to 18,837 . Vacant housing units increased by 1,911 or $96.9 \%$ from 1,972 to 3,883.

> Council District 7
> Housing Units 2000 U.S. Census

Council District 7 Housing Units 2010 U.S. Census

## Council District 8

## Highlights:

- The largest Black or African American population numeric increase took place in Council District $8(+3,523)$. This district had the largest Asian population in $2000(4,384)$ and in $2010(10,889)$ as well as the largest numeric increase $(+6,505)$.
- In 2010 Council District 8 had the highest male population $(77,722)$.
- Most Council Districts have variations of the standard population age distribution with the largest proportions in the youngest age groups with a steady decline in older age groups, however in both 2000 and 2010, Council District 8 had the most unique population age distributions with a very low proportion of population in the youngest age groups, a dramatic increase in the 20 to 29 age groups, and a sharper decline than is typical in the 30-59 age groups.
- Council District 8 had the highest number of total housing units in $2000(55,069)$ and 2010 $(74,035)$. This district had the largest number of renter occupied housing units in 2000 $(27,714)$ and with the largest numeric $(+9442)$ increase, it still had the highest number in $2010(37,156)$. It had the largest numeric $(+2,609)$ increase in vacant housing units giving it the largest number in $2010(6,263)$.



## Population Race / Ethnicity

The total population in Council District 8 increased by 40,171 or 33.6\% from 119,397 to 159,568. Hispanic or Latino population increased by 25,191 or $57.2 \%$ from 44,020 to 69,211 . White population increased by 3,662 or $5.8 \%$ from 63,105 to 66,767 . Black or African American population increased by 3,523 or $66.3 \%$ from 5,310 to 8,833 . Asian population increased by 6,505 or $148.4 \%$ from 4,384 to 10,889 .

## Gender Groups

The male population increased by 20,497 or $35.8 \%$ from 57,225 to 77,722 . Female population increased by 19,674 or $31.6 \%$ from 62,172 to 81,846 .

## Median Age

The total population median age increased by 0.3 or $0.8 \%$ from 33.8 to 34.1 . Median age of the male population increased by 0.10 or $0.2 \%$ from 33.0 to 33.1 . Median age of the female population increased by 0.3 or $1 \%$ from 34.5 to 34.9.

## Household Type by Household Size

The total households increased by 16,357 or $31.8 \%$ from 51,415 to 67,772 . Family households increased by 7,464 or $25.4 \%$ from 29,361 to 36,825 . Non family households increased by 8,893 or $40.3 \%$ from 22,054 to 30,947 . The average size of households up to 7 persons remained the same at 2.28 .

## Council District 8

## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

The total households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 3,185 or $20.6 \%$ from 15,488 to 18,673 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 3,192 or 20.9\% from 15,276 to 18,468. Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,422 or $13.5 \%$ from 10,526 to 11,948 . Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 507 or $54.1 \%$ from 938 to 1,445 . Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,263 or $33.1 \%$ from 3,812 to 5,075 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -7 or $-3.3 \%$ from 212 to 205. Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -7 or $-4.9 \%$ from 143 to 136. Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 remained the same at 69 .
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## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

The total housing units increased by 18,966 or $34.4 \%$ from 55,069 to 74,035 . Occupied housing units increased by 16,357 or $31.8 \%$ from 51,415 to 67,772 . Owner occupied housing units increased by 6,915 or $29.2 \%$ from 23,701 to 30,616 . Renter occupied housing units increased by 9,442 or $34.1 \%$ from 27,714 to 37,156 . Vacant housing units increased by 2,609 or $71.4 \%$ from 3,654 to 6,263.
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## Council District 9

## Highlights:

- The largest total population numeric $(+42,781)$ and percent $(+36.7 \%)$ increase took place in Council District 9.
- Council District 9 experienced the largest Hispanic or Latino population percent increase (+ $82 \%)$. This district had the largest White population in $2000(76,885)$ and in $2010(85,789)$. It also experienced the largest White population numeric and percent increase (+8,904 / $+11.6 \%)$. The largest percent increases in the Black or African American population ( $+70.5 \%$ ) and Asian population (+167.6\%) were also in this district.
- In 2010, Council District 9 had the highest female population $(81,998)$. This district had the highest male population numeric $(+21,222)$ and percent $(+37.9 \%)$ increase and the highest female population numeric $(+21,559)$ and percent $(+35.7 \%)$ increase.
- In 2000, Council District 9 had population age distribution with lower populations in the youngest age groups, and a noticeable increase in the populations in and around the "Baby Boomer" ages. The age distribution is much more equitable in 2010.



## Population Race / Ethnicity

The total population in Council District 9 increased by 42,781 or 36.7\% from 116,433 to 159,214. Hispanic or Latino population increased by 25,757 or $82 \%$ from 31,396 to 57,153 . White population increased by 8,904 or $11.6 \%$ from 76,885 to 85,789 . Black or African American population increased by 2,639 or $70.5 \%$ from 3,744 to 6,383 . Asian population increased by 4,215 or $167.6 \%$ from 2,515 to 6,730 .

## Gender Groups

The male population increased by 21,222 or $37.9 \%$ from 55,994 to 77,216 . Female population increased by 21,559 or $35.7 \%$ from 60,439 to 81,998 .

## Median Age

The total population median age increased by 1.0 or $2.7 \%$ from 36.8 to 37.8 . Median age of the male population increased by 0.7 or $1.8 \%$ from 35.8 to 36.4. Median age of the female population increased by 1.2 or $3.2 \%$ from 37.6 to 38.8 .

## Household Type by Household Size

The total households increased by 17,527 or $36.1 \%$ from 48,512 to 66,039 . Family households increased by 10,021 or $33 \%$ from 30,326 to 40,347 . Non family households increased by 7,506 or $41.3 \%$ from 18,186 to 25,692 . The average size of households up to 7 persons increased by 0.01 or $0.5 \%$ from 2.36 to 2.37 .

## Council District 9

## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

The total households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 4,968 or $30.4 \%$ from 16,317 to 21,285 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 4,962 or $30.7 \%$ from 16,154 to 21,116 . Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 2,738 or $22.5 \%$ from 12,163 to 14,901 . Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 608 or $75 \%$ from 811 to 1,419 . Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,616 or $50.8 \%$ from 3,180 to 4,796 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 6 or $3.7 \%$ from 163 to 169. Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -2 or $-1.6 \%$ from 128 to 126. Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 8 or $22.9 \%$ from 35 to 43 .
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## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

The total housing units increased by 19,847 or $37.9 \%$ from 52,326 to 72,173 . Occupied housing units increased by 17,527 or $36.1 \%$ from 48,512 to 66,039 . Owner occupied housing units increased by 9,293 or $34.3 \%$ from 27,126 to 36,419 . Renter occupied housing units increased by 8,234 or $38.5 \%$ from 21,386 to 29,620 . Vacant housing units increased by 2,320 or $60.8 \%$ from 3,814 to 6,134 .
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## Council Dispricr 10

## Highlights:

- In 2000, Council District 10 had population age distribution with lower populations in the youngest age groups, and a noticeable increase in the populations in and around the "Baby Boomer" ages. The age distribution is much more equitable in 2010.
- In 2000 Council District 10 had the second lowest number of Hispanics or Latinos $(31,458)$ and despite having the seventh highest number increase $(+21,648)$ and the second highest percent increase (+68.8\%), it had the lowest number of Hispanics in $2010(53,106)$.
- In 2000 Council District 10 had the highest number of owner occupied housing units, $(27,363)$ however by 2010 it had dropped to second place with 33,405 owner occupied housing units.
- Council District 10 had the highest numeric increase in renter occupied housing units with householders 75 and up (+223).


Population Race / Ethnicity
The total population in Council District 10 increased by 21,269 or $19 \%$ from 111,776 to 133,045 . Hispanic or Latino population increased by 21,648 or $68.8 \%$ from 31,458 to 53,106 . White population decreased by $-4,381$ or $-6.5 \%$ from 67,738 to 63,357 . Black or African American population increased by 2,498 or $32.8 \%$ from 7,627 to 10,125 . Asian population increased by 907 or $38 \%$ from 2,384 to 3,291 .

## Gender Groups

The male population increased by 10,535 or $19.6 \%$ from 53,769 to 64,304 . Female population increased by 10,734 or $18.5 \%$ from 58,007 to 68,741 .

## Median Age

The total population median age increased by 1.5 or $4.2 \%$ from 35.7 to 37.2 . Median age of the male population increased by 1.0 or $2.8 \%$ from 34.6 to 35.5 . Median age of the female population increased by 2 or $5.4 \%$ from 36.5 to 38.5 .

## Household Type by Household Size

The total households increased by 8,344 or $19 \%$ from 43,924 to 52,268 . Family households increased by 4,718 or $15.8 \%$ from 29,829 to 34,547 . Non family households increased by 3,626 or $25.7 \%$ from 14,095 to 17,721 . The average size of households up to 7 persons remained the same at 2.52 .

## Council District 10

## Households with One or More Children under Age 18

The total households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,951 or $11.8 \%$ from 16,484 to 18,435 . Family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,953 or $12 \%$ from 16,283 to 18,236 . Married couple family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 262 or $2.3 \%$ from 11,454 to 11,716 . Male householder (no wife present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 528 or $50.4 \%$ from 1,048 to 1,576 . Female householder (no husband present) family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 1,163 or $30.8 \%$ from 3,781 to 4,944 . Non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -2 or $-1 \%$ from 201 to 199. Male householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 decreased by -15 or $-10 \%$ from 150 to 135. Female householder non family households with one or more children under age 18 increased by 13 or $25.5 \%$ from 51 to 64 .
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## Housing Unit Occupancy or Vacancy

The total housing units increased by 9,174 or $19.7 \%$ from 46,677 to 55,851 . Occupied housing units increased by 8,344 or $19 \%$ from 43,924 to 52,268. Owner occupied housing units increased by 6,042 or $22.1 \%$ from 27,363 to 33,405 . Renter occupied housing units increased by 2,302 or $13.9 \%$ from 16,561 to 18,863 . Vacant housing units increased by 830 or $30.1 \%$ from 2,753 to 3,583.
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## SF 1 Datasel

The U.S. Census SF 1 are released as individual files with identical tables for each state. Data for the states and their sub areas are provided in hierarchical sequence down to the block level ( $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{H}$ tables), the census tract level (PCT \& HCT tables), or the county level (PCO tables), depending on the detail shown in the table. The file structure includes, but is not limited to the following geographic levels: State; County; County subdivision; Place (such as the City of San Antonio); Census tract; Block group; and Block. The U.S. Census Bureau's Web site (www. census.gov) provides SF 1 Flat ASCII files by state for download via File Transfer Protocol (FTP). Components include a fixed-length ASCII format geographic header data and 47 comma-separated ASCII format data files with multiple tables each. From this ASCII format, data can be extracted for a particular area (such as Bexar and Medina Counties - Two Blocks within the City of San Antonio are in Medina County) and converted using a software package such as Microsoft Office Access into several formats, including databases, spreadsheets, and for use with GIS (mapping) applications.

## Resolution of Data

In the 2010 Census SF 1 data there are 331 tables at various county and sub-county geographic area resolutions with a total of 5,960 variables. The finest resolution data is at the block level with Bexar County having almost 20,000 blocks in 2000 and over 26,000 blocks in 2010. Not all data is available at the block level. For instance, the homeless population data, compiled for the first time in the 2010 census, is only available at the much larger tract level (366 tracts in the 2010).

## COSA Population Calculation versus Census Bureau Calculations

The reported 2010 total population for the City of San Antonio by the U.S. Census Bureau is 1,327,407. Once the 2000 and 2010 SF 1 for Bexar and Medina counties had been obtained and converted to a usable GIS format, blocks with a place value indicating a designation as being within the City of San Antonio (PLACENS = 02411774) were selected. The blocks along the City of San Antonio boundary were then visually compared with the official City of San Antonio boundaries and those of other cities \& towns. It was determined that the Census Bureau had assigned 35 census blocks with a total population of 868, to the City of San Antonio that are actually outside of the City and include portions of the cities of Leon Valley, Balcones Heights, Hill Country Village, Windcrest and Kirby. This report excludes those 868 people giving the City of San Antonio a total population of 1,326,539 for 2010.

## Procedure to Assign Blocks to Council District Areas

If the central point of a census block fell inside a Council District, that blocks value’s were assigned to that Council District. A visual review of the Council District boundaries, Council District symbolized blocks and aerial photography ensured correct assignments.


For additional information, contact:
Department of Planning \& Community Development
P. O. Box 839966

San Antonio, TX 78283-3966
(210) 207-0147
www.sanantonio.gov/planning

